

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,119	09/09/2003	Kazutaka Akiyama	09108.0003	9224
22852	7590 07/25/2005		EXAMINER	
FINNEGA	N, HENDERSON, FA	CAO, PHAT X		
LLP	ODK VENITE NM		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			2814	

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

H'A						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summans	10/657,119	AKIYAMA, KAZUTAKA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ann	Phat X. Cao	2814	Idraaa			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	iaress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		•				
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 May 2005</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims	,					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-20</u> is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-12</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) <u>5,13 and 14</u> is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers			٠.			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

1. The allowability of claims 3-4 are withdrawn because of the new references are applied.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka (US. 6,906,374) in view of Matsuoka et al (US. 6,809,364).

Regarding claims 1-2, Tanaka (Fig. 34) discloses a semiconductor device comprising: a semiconductor substrate 4; a first wiring 40 formed above the semiconductor substrate 4 with a first insulating film 36/32 interposed therebetween; an MIM capacitor having a lower metal electrode 54 or 11 (Fig. 1) (column 6, lines 15-16) and an upper metal electrode 58 (column 13, lines 47-50), and formed above the first insulating film 36/32; a second insulating film 48 formed to cover the MIM capacitor; and a guard ring 54 (see the rightmost 54 and column 11, lines 35-38) buried in the second insulating film 48 surrounding the MIM capacitor, wherein the guard ring 54 (rightmost 54) is provided such that the guard ring 54 is electrically insulated from the wirings and the MIM capacitor.

Tanaka does not disclose a second wiring formed on the second insulating film 48.

Art Unit: 2814

However, Matsuoka (Fig. 22) teaches the forming of a capacitor, a first wiring 21 and a second wiring 26, the second wiring 26 is formed on a second insulating film 905/906 and connected to the first wiring 21 via a hole 25 formed in the second insulating film. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to form a second wiring on the second insulating film of Tanaka because as taught by Matsuoka, such forming of the second wiring would provide an additional wiring layer desired for the semiconductor device (column 8, lines 52-56).

Regarding claim 3, Tanaka (Fig. 34) further discloses the guard ring 54 formed of tungsten which is the same material as the lower capacitor electrode (column 6, lines 15-23) and same material as the first wiring 40 (column 11, lines 16-18). Therefore, it would have been obvious to form the second wiring with tungsten which is the same material as the first wiring 40 and the guard ring 54 because tungsten is a well known conductor and commonly used for wiring because of its high conductivity characteristics.

Regarding claim 4, Tanaka (Fig. 34) further discloses the metal ring 54 is in an electrically floating state (no connection).

Regarding claim 10, because the MIM capacitor is completely surrounded by the guard ring 54, the guard ring 54 would inherently cut a seam generated in the second insulating film around the MIM capacitor.

Regarding claim 11, Tanaka further discloses that a width of the guard ring 54 or a dimension of the tubular metal ring 54 is in the range of 0.1 to 1 um (column 9, lines 14-16).

Application/Control Number: 10/657,119

Art Unit: 2814

Regarding claim 12, Tanaka's Fig. 34 further discloses a block insulating film 42 formed between the first and second insulating film to cover the first wiring 40.

3. Claims 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka and Matsuoka as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Nguyen et al (US. 2004/0092095).

Neither Tanaka nor Matsuoka disclose the second insulating film made of material having dielectric constant as claimed.

However, Nguyen (Fig. 1E) teaches the forming of an insulating film 104 surrounding an interconnect and made of materials including fluorine containing silicon oxide (FSG), carbon containing silicon oxide (SiOC), or porous silicon oxide (par. [0023]). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to form the second insulating film of Tanaka with the materials as set forth above because these dielectric materials having a very low dielectric constant, such as less than about 3, as taught by Nguyen (par. [0023]).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 5 and 13-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

See reasons of record.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claimed invention have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Because of the new issues presented in the amended claims, the new references are applied in the new ground of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phat X. Cao whose telephone number is 571-272-1703. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on 571-272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,119

Art Unit: 2814

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PC

July 22, 2005

PHAT X. CAO